

Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Jones, Matthew ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5252-5234> (2011) A note on the Königs domain of compact composition operators on the Bloch space. Journal of Inequalities and Applications (31) . ISSN 1029-242X [Article] (doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-31)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/8157/>

Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

eprints@mdx.ac.uk

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

RESEARCH

Open Access

A note on the Königs domain of compact composition operators on the Bloch space

Matthew M Jones

Correspondence: m.m.jones@mdx.ac.uk
Department of Mathematics,
Middlesex University, The
Burroughs, London, NW4 4BT, UK

Abstract

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk in the complex plane. We define \mathcal{B}_0 to be the little Bloch space of functions f analytic in \mathbb{D} which satisfy $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0$. If $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is analytic then the composition operator $C_\phi : f \mapsto f \circ \phi$ is a continuous operator that maps \mathcal{B}_0 into itself. In this paper, we show that the compactness of C_ϕ , as an operator on \mathcal{B}_0 , can be modelled geometrically by its principal eigenfunction. In particular, under certain necessary conditions, we relate the compactness of C_ϕ to the geometry of $\Omega = \sigma(\mathbb{D})$, where σ satisfies Schöder's functional equation $\sigma \circ \phi = \phi'(0)\sigma$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30D05; 47B33 Secondary 30D45.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane and \mathbb{T} its boundary. We define the Bloch space \mathcal{B} to be the Banach space of functions, f , analytic in \mathbb{D} with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

This space has many important applications in complex function theory, see [1] for an overview of many of them. We denote by \mathcal{B}_0 the little Bloch space of functions in \mathcal{B} that satisfy $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0$. This space coincides with the closure of the polynomials in \mathcal{B} .

Suppose now that $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is analytic, then we may define the operator, C_ϕ , acting on \mathcal{B}_0 as $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$. It was shown in [2] that every such operator maps \mathcal{B}_0 continuously into itself. Moreover, it was proved that C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B}_0 if and only if ϕ satisfies

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 0. \quad (1)$$

Recall that the hyperbolic geometry on \mathbb{D} is defined by the distance

$$\text{disk}(z, w) = \inf_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\eta) |d\eta|$$

where the infimum is taken over all sufficiently smooth arcs that have endpoints z and w .

Here, $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\eta) = (1 - |\eta|^2)^{-1}$ is the Poincaré density of \mathbb{D} . The hyperbolic derivative of ϕ is given by $\phi'(z)/(1 - |\phi(z)|^2)$ and functions that satisfy (1) are called little hyperbolic Bloch functions or written $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$.

The Schröder functional equation is the equation

$$\sigma \circ \varphi = \gamma \sigma. \quad (2)$$

Note that this is just the eigenfunction equation for C_{ϕ} . Koenigs' theorem states that if ϕ has fixed point at the origin then (2) has a unique solution for $\gamma = \phi'(0)$ which we call the *Koenigs function* and denote by σ from here on. In the study of the geometric properties of ϕ in relation to the operator theoretic properties of C_{ϕ} , it has become evident that the Koenigs function is much more fruitful to study than ϕ itself. In particular, see [3] for a discussion of the Koenigs function in relation to compact composition operators on the Hardy spaces.

If we let $\Omega = \sigma(\mathbb{D})$ be the *Koenigs domain* of ϕ , then (2) may be interpreted as implying that the action of ϕ on \mathbb{D} is equivalent to multiplication by γ on Ω . It is due to this that the pair (Ω, γ) is often called the geometric model for ϕ .

In this paper, we study the geometry of Ω when $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$. In order to do this, we will use the hyperbolic geometry of Ω . If $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is a universal covering map and Ω is a hyperbolic domain in \mathbb{C} , then the Poincaré density on Ω is derived from the equation

$$\lambda_{\Omega}(f(z))|f'(z)| = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z),$$

which is independent of the choice of f . Since this equation, in terms of differentials, is $\lambda_{\Omega}(w)|dw| = \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)|dz|$ (for $w = f(z)$), we see that the hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{D} defined above carries over to a hyperbolic distance on Ω . For a more thorough treatment of the hyperbolic metric, see [4].

In [5], the Königs domain of a compact composition operator on the Hardy space was studied and the following result was proved.

Theorem A. *Let ϕ be a univalent self-map of \mathbb{D} with a fixed point in \mathbb{D} . Suppose that for some positive integer n_0 there are at most finitely many points of \mathbb{T} at which φ_{n_0} has an angular derivative. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *Some power of C_{ϕ} is compact on the Hardy space H^2 ;*
2. *σ lies in H^p for every $p < \infty$;*
3. *$\Omega = \sigma(\mathbb{D})$ does not contain a twisted sector.*

Here, Ω is said to contain a *twisted sector* if there is an unbounded curve $\Gamma \in \Omega$ with

$$\delta_{\Omega}(w) \geq \varepsilon|w|$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $w \in \Gamma$, where δ_{Ω} is the distance from w to the boundary of Ω as defined below. The purpose of this paper is to provide a similar result to this in the context of the Bloch space.

2 Simply connected domains

Throughout this section, we assume that Ω is an unbounded simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} with $0 \in \Omega$. As in the previous section, σ represents the Riemann mapping of \mathbb{D}

onto Ω with $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\sigma'(0) > 0$. We will also define ϕ via the Schröder functional equation. Throughout we let

$$\delta_{\Omega}(w) = \inf_{\zeta \notin \Omega} |w - \zeta|,$$

so that $\delta_{\Omega}(w)$ is the Euclidean distance from w to the boundary of Ω .

Theorem 1. *Let ϕ be a univalent function mapping \mathbb{D} into \mathbb{D} , $\phi(0) = 0$. Suppose that the closure of $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ intersects \mathbb{T} only at finitely many fixed points and is contained in a Stolz angle of opening no greater than $\alpha\pi$ there.*

If $|\phi'(0)| > 16 \tan(\alpha\pi/2)$ then the following are equivalent

1. C_{ϕ} is compact on \mathcal{B} ;
2. $\lim_{\substack{w \rightarrow \infty \\ w \in \gamma\Omega}} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0$;
3. For every $n > 0$, $\sigma^n \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

Remark: It has recently been shown by Smith [6] that compactness of C_{ϕ} on \mathcal{B} is equivalent to compactness of C_{ϕ} on \mathcal{B}_0 , $BMOA$ and $VMOA$ when ϕ is univalent and so in the above theorem, the first condition could read: C_{ϕ} is compact on \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{B}_0 , $BMOA$ and $VMOA$. Before proceeding, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Under the hypotheses of the theorem, w and γw tend to the same prime end at ∞ , and $\partial\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that the closure of $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ touches \mathbb{T} only at fixed points. Suppose now that the second assertion is false and there are distinct prime ends ρ_1 and ρ_2 with $\rho_1 = \gamma\rho_2$. Then under the boundary correspondence given by σ there are distinct points $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\sigma(\eta) = \gamma\sigma(\zeta) = \sigma(\phi(\zeta)).$$

It follows that $\phi(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}$ and therefore ζ is a fixed point of ϕ . Hence, we have the contradiction $\rho_1 = \rho_2$. \square

Proof. We first prove that 1 is equivalent to 2.

By the results of Madigan and Matheson [2], and Smith [6] cited above C_{ϕ} is compact on \mathcal{B} if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 0.$$

However, by Schröder's equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| &= \frac{\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(\phi(z))}{\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}(z)} |\phi'(z)| \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{\Omega}(\sigma \circ \phi(z))}{\lambda_{\Omega}(\sigma(z))} \frac{|\sigma' \circ \phi(z) \phi'(z)|}{|\sigma'(z)|} \\ &= |\gamma| \frac{\lambda_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}{\lambda_{\Omega}(w)} \end{aligned}$$

Since Ω is simply connected, $\lambda_{\Omega}(w) \asymp 1/\delta_{\Omega}(w)$ and so C_{ϕ} is compact on \mathcal{B} if and only if

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \frac{\delta_\Omega(w)}{\delta_\Omega(\gamma w)} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Since $\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$, $\gamma w \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ implies that $w \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. Therefore, (3) holds if and only if

$$\lim_{\gamma w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \frac{\delta_\Omega(w)}{\delta_\Omega(\gamma w)} = 0.$$

By the Lemma, we see that $\gamma w \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ means $w \rightarrow \infty$ and $w \in \gamma\Omega$, and we have shown that 1 and 2 are equivalent.

Suppose that 2 holds and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then we can find a $R > 0$ so that $\delta_\Omega(w) < \varepsilon \delta_\Omega(\gamma w)$ for all $|w| > R$, since there are only a finite number of prime ends at ∞ . Choose $w \in \Omega$ arbitrarily with modulus greater than R and let n satisfy $|\gamma|^{-n} R < |w| \leq |\gamma|^{-n-1} R$.

Then we have that $\delta_\Omega(w) < \varepsilon^n \delta_\Omega(\gamma^n w)$ and hence

$$\frac{-\log \delta_\Omega(w)}{\log |w|} > \frac{-n \log \varepsilon - \log \delta_\Omega(\gamma^n w)}{-(n+1) \log |\gamma| + \log R}.$$

Now as $w \rightarrow \infty$ in $\gamma\Omega$, $\gamma^n w$ lies in a closed set properly contained in Ω and therefore $\delta_\Omega(\gamma^n w)$ is bounded below by a constant independent of w . We thus have that

$$\liminf_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \delta_\Omega(w)}{\log |w|} > \frac{-\log \varepsilon}{-\log |\gamma|}$$

and since ε was arbitrary, the left-hand side of the above inequality must tend to ∞ . Hence, we have shown that $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} |w|^\beta \delta_\Omega(w) = 0$ for every $\beta > 0$.

Now $\sigma^n \in \mathcal{B}_0$ may be interpreted geometrically as $\lim_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} n |w|^{-n-1} \delta_\Omega(w) = 0$ and this follows from the above argument. Therefore, 2 implies 3.

To show that 3 implies 2, we need to show that if

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} f(w) = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \delta_\Omega(w)}{\log |w|} = \infty$$

then 2 holds.

To complete the proof, we require the following lemma whose proof we merely sketch.

Lemma 2. *Under the hypotheses of the theorem,*

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_\Omega(w)}{\delta_\Omega(\gamma w)} \leq K < 1.$$

Sketch of Proof. First note that

$$\limsup_{|w| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\delta_\Omega(w)}{\delta_\Omega(\gamma w)} \leq \frac{16}{|\varphi'(0)|} \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\delta_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}(z)}{\delta_{\mathbb{D}}(z)}.$$

Now if $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ lies in a non-tangential angle of opening $\alpha\pi$ at ζ , then a short calculation shows that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\delta_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}(z)}{\delta_{\mathbb{D}}(z)} \leq \tan \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

and the assertion follows. \square

Now with f defined above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\gamma w) - f(w) &= \frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}{\log |\gamma w|} - \frac{-\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\log |w|} \\ &\sim \frac{\log \delta_{\Omega}(w)/\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)}{\log |w|} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for large enough w . Hence,

$$\frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = \frac{|\gamma|^{f(\gamma w)}}{|w|^{f(w)-f(\gamma w)}} \leq |\gamma|^{f(\gamma w)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $w \rightarrow \infty$ and so 2 holds. \square

It is of interest to consider the growth of σ since condition 3 would imply that it has very slow growth. The following corollary follows from 3 and the fact that functions in \mathcal{B}_0 grow at most of order $\log 1/(1 - |z|)$.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that ϕ satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem and that any of the equivalent conditions holds, then for $r = |z|$.*

$$\log |\sigma(z)| = o\left(\log \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right).$$

We also provide the following restatement of the hypotheses of Theorem 1 to illustrate the main properties of the Königs domain.

Corollary 2. *Let Ω be an unbounded domain in \mathbb{C} with $\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$ and $0 \in \Omega$. Suppose that Ω has only finitely many prime ends at ∞ and*

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} < 1.$$

In addition, suppose that $\partial\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$. If $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$, $\sigma(0) = 0$, $\sigma'(0) > 0$, and ϕ is defined by Schröder's equation, then the following are equivalent.

1. C_{ϕ} is compact on \mathcal{B} ;
2. $\lim_{\substack{w \rightarrow \infty \\ w \in \gamma\Omega}} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0$;
3. For every $n > 0$, $\sigma^n \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

The hypothesis on the boundary of Ω is vital. If we do not assume that $\partial\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$, then we deduce from the proof of the Theorem that $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$ is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\gamma w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \frac{\delta_{\Omega}(w)}{\delta_{\Omega}(\gamma w)} = 0. \quad (4)$$

In this situation, the finite part of the boundary of Ω plays a complicated role in the behaviour of ϕ . We conclude this section by constructing a domain that displays very bad boundary properties. This answers a question of Madigan and Matheson in [2].

In [2] it was shown that if $\partial\phi(D)$ touches $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$ in a cusp, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathcal{H}}$. However, it is not sufficient that $\partial\phi(D)$ touches \mathbb{T} at an angle greater than 0. The question was raised of whether or not it is possible that $\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T}$ can be infinite.

With the hypothesis that $\partial\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$ the prime ends at ∞ correspond to points of $\overline{\varphi(D)}$ that touch \mathbb{T} . Therefore, $\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T}$ is at most countable. A natural question to ask is whether or not $\Lambda(\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T})$ can ever be positive, where Λ represents linear measure.

This example is well known in the setting of the unit disk, see [7, Corollary 5.3]. We describe here the construction in terms of the Königs domain.

Theorem 2. *There is a univalent function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_0^t$ such that $\overline{\varphi(D)} \cap \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. We construct the domain Ω so that it satisfies (4). Let $0 < \gamma < 1$ be given. We will define a nested sequence $\Theta_n \subset \mathbb{T}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ so that

$$\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{re^{i\theta} : \gamma^{-n} \leq r < \infty, \theta \in \Theta_n\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\Theta_n \subset \Theta_{n+1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

First let $N > 2$ be chosen arbitrarily and let $\Theta_1 = \{2\pi k/N : k = 0, \dots, N-1\}$.

Suppose now that Θ_n has been defined, then let Θ_{n+1} be such that $\Theta_n \subset \Theta_{n+1}$ and whenever $\theta \in \Theta_n$ is isolated, we define a sequence $\theta_k \in \Theta_{n+1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, so that $\theta_k \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and for each k there is a j so that $\theta - \theta_k = \theta_j - \theta$. Moreover, assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{(\theta - \theta_k)^2} = 0. \quad (6)$$

In this way, we define the sequence of sets Θ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We will, furthermore, assume that for each $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a sequence $\theta_n \in \Theta_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta$.

We claim that this gives the desired domain Ω with boundary defined by (5).

To see this, let $\gamma w \in \Omega$ be arbitrary, then by construction, we may find a $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ so that $\delta_\Omega(\gamma w) = |\zeta - \gamma w|$. It is readily seen that for such ζ , there is an n so that $\zeta \in \{re^{i\theta} : r \geq \gamma^{-n}\}$ for some $\theta \in \Theta_n$ and moreover, θ is isolated in Θ_n .

If we now consider w , we may find a sequence $\theta_k \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ so that $\{re^{i\theta_k} : r \geq \gamma^{-n-1}\} \in \partial\Omega$ for all k hence we may fix a k so that $\delta_\Omega(w) = |w - \eta|$ for $\eta = re^{i\theta_k}$.

By estimating the line segment $[w, \eta]$ by the arc of $r\mathbb{T}$ joining w to η , we see that $\delta_\Omega(w) \leq |w| |\alpha - \theta_k|$ where $w = re^{i\alpha}$. Therefore, we have the estimate $\delta_\Omega(w) \leq |w| |\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k|$. By a similar argument, we deduce the estimate $\delta_\Omega(\gamma w) \leq |\gamma w| |\theta - \theta_k|$ and so

$$\frac{\delta_\Omega(w)}{\delta_\Omega(\gamma w)} \leq \gamma^{-1} \left| \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{\theta - \theta_k} \right| \leq \gamma^{-1} |\theta - \theta_k|$$

by (6) and so the construction is complete.

We claim that if $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is defined as usual and ϕ is given by Schröder's equation, then $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \cap \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}$.

In fact, if $\theta \in \Theta_n$ is isolated, then the ray $R = \{re^{i\theta} : r \geq \gamma^{-n-1}\}$ is contained in a single prime end of Ω . Therefore, to each such ray, there exists a point $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ that corresponds to R under σ . Since $\gamma R \subset \partial\Omega$, we thus have that ζ corresponds to a prime end p under ϕ with $p \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

On the other hand, if $\theta \in \Theta_n$ is isolated, then $R' = \{re^{i\theta} : \gamma^{-n} \leq r < \gamma^{-n-1}\}$ satisfies $\gamma R' \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$, and so there is an arc $\rho_\theta \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $\sigma(\rho_\theta) = R'$ and ρ_θ has an end-point in \mathbb{T} .

Hence, each $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$ is contained in a prime end of $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$\varphi(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta_n \text{ isolated}} \rho_\theta.$$

The result follows. \square

3 Multiply connected domains

The geometric arguments of the previous section potentially lend themselves to multiply connected domains in the following way. Suppose that Ω is a domain in \mathbb{C} with $0 \in \Omega$ and $\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Let σ be a universal covering map of \mathbb{D} onto Ω with $\sigma(0) = 0$. Then $\sigma'(0) \neq 0$ and we may define ϕ via (2). Now we have

$$\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} |\varphi'(z)| = |\gamma| \frac{\lambda_\Omega(\gamma w)}{\lambda_\Omega(w)}.$$

However, if Ω is not simply connected, then σ is an infinitely sheeted covering of Ω and therefore the equation $\sigma(z) = 0$ has infinitely many distinct solutions, z_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$

Now, since

$$\frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z_n)|^2} |\varphi'(z_n)| = |\gamma| > 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$, we see that $\varphi \notin \mathcal{B}_0^H$. Thus, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 1. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain satisfying $0 \in \Omega$ and $\gamma\Omega \subset \Omega$, and let $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ be a universal covering map with $\sigma(0) = 0$.*

If ϕ , as defined by (2) is in \mathcal{B}_0^H then Ω is simply connected.

4 Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Received: 31 January 2011 Accepted: 10 August 2011 Published: 10 August 2011

References

1. Anderson, JM: Bloch Functions: The Basic Theory. Operators and Function Theory. D Reidel. 1-17 (1985)
2. Madigan, K, Matheson, A: Compact Composition Operators on the Bloch Space. *Trans Am Math Soc.* **347**, 2679-2687 (1995). doi:10.2307/2154848
3. Shapiro, JH: Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory. Springer. (1993)
4. Ahlfors, LV: Conformal Invariants, Topics in Geometric Function Theory. McGraw-Hill. (1973)
5. JH, Shapiro, W, Smith, A, Stegenga: Geometric models and compactness of composition operators. *J Funct Anal.* **127**, 21-62 (1995). doi:10.1006/jfan.1995.1002
6. Smith, W: Compactness of composition operators on BMOA. *Proc Am Math Soc.* **127**, 2715-2725 (1999). doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-99-04856-X
7. Bourdon, P, Cima, J, Matheson, A: Compact composition operators on BMOA. *Trans Am Math Soc.* **351**, 2183-2196 (1999). doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02387-9

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-31

Cite this article as: Jones: A note on the Königs domain of compact composition operators on the Bloch space. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2011 **2011**:31.